



Claim 1 is a generic claim covering both of the embodiments of Figs. 2 (3A-B) and 7A. All of the elements recited in claim 1 are found in each of the embodiments of Figs. 2 and 7A. It is clear that restriction for Species 1 (Fig. 2) and Species 2 (Fig. 7A) is not appropriate under the current claim 1. Applicants respectfully request that this restriction be removed.

With respect to the restriction identifying species 3 as a separate embodiment, this embodiment, as shown in Fig. 11, includes the embodiment of Figure 7A (erroneously labeled as 100 instead of 110 – correction for this drawing error is attached hereto), with the further addition of a control system. Note, that the elements recited in lines 1-11 of each of claims 1 and 15 are identical. For clarity with respect to the restriction, applicants are willing to amend claim 15 so that it depends from claim 1, thus leaving claim 1 as a generic claim covering both embodiments, if required by the Examiner. However, Applicants respectfully assert that this is not necessary here, as it is clear that Fig. 11 covers the embodiment of Fig. 7A with the further addition control system elements. As a result, if claim 1 is found to be patentable, claim 15 will automatically be deemed patentable.



## CORRECTION TO DRAWING

Please replace the original drawings sheet containing FIG. 11 with the attached clean drawing sheet containing a correction to FIG. 11. Reference number 100 has been changed to 110. A marked up copy of the original drawing sheet is also attached.

### *Charge Deposit Account*

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any additional fee(s) that may be due in this matter, and please credit the same deposit account for any overpayment.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: Oct. 10, 2003

R. Alan Burnett  
R. Alan Burnett  
Reg. No. 46,149

4524.P071 RR